



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,593	01/16/2001	Toru Ishii	P/2007-76	4296
75	12/05/2002			
Steven I. Weisburd, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas			NGUYEN, PHONG H	
41 St Floor New York,, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Asia		09/760,593	ISHII ET AL.
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Phong H Nguyen	3724
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation in approximation in the second section in the second secon	pears n the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
I HE III - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repletion of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute poly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters prosecution as to the morite in
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on $01/16/2001$ is/are: a)	accepted or b) abjected to	o by the Examiner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🛛 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[] All b)⊠ Some * c)⊡ None of:		
•	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the acti	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
		·	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion. The translation of the foreign language pro		
15) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has be c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(, , ,	gg .== =::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	- · · · ·	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/760,593

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 12-15 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because numerals 15 and 51 have both been used to designate "Base accepting plate". See Figs. 3 and 9. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because there is no description for element 11 in Fig. 1 in the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of unclear and concise language. For example, applicant is suggested to delete "of" and "a" in line 1. Correction is required.

 Several other grammatical oddities need to be corrected. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number: 09/760,593

Art Unit: 3724

-On page 1, line 21, "unit 27g" should be --unit 27--.

-On page 2:

Line14, "25h" should be --26h--.

Line 17, "26h2" should be --26h1.

Line 24, "a die" should be --a die 27h--.

Line 25, "into" should be --into 27h1--.

- -On page 4, line 2, "die 29" should be --die 27--.
- -On page 7, line 26, "puncher portion" should be --punching portion--.
- -On page 10:

Line 14, "tighitly" should be --tightly--.

Line 16, "bolts j1" should be --bolts 16j1--.

Line 25, "Figs 3 and 6" should be -- Figs. 3 and 9--.

-On page 11:

Line 2, "16n1" should be --16d1--.

Line 3, "16n" should be --16d--.

Line 5, it is unclear what applicant means "two sym plates".

-On page 15, line 25, it is unclear what applicant means "integrally round".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 4, it is unclear what applicant means the punch and the die are "possible to round relative to each other".

Regarding claims 7 and 8, it is not clear what "there between" is referring to. See claim 7, line 5; and claim 8, line 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by France
 (4,610,185). Regarding claims 1 and 5, France discloses a punching apparatus for punching
 a hole that comprises a punch 26 integrated to a moving part and a die 28 integrated to a

:.

supporting part. Both have plurality of planes to be fitted with datum planes of the fitting jig 12. See Figs. 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 2, France teaches that the punched hole has a non-circular cross section. See Fig. 1.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, punch 26 and die 28 rotate independently about the axis that is parallel to the punching direction. Lines 36-54, column 6.

Regarding claim 4, both punch 26 and die 28 have planes for fitting that lie parallel to the punching direction and are perpendicular to each other. See Figs 1 and 2.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the fitting jig 12 is U-shape as best seen in Fig. 3.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Marconi, Grefe, Raney et al., Fujiwara et al., Mangos, Falstrom, and Stroh teach punching apparatuses of general interest.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phong H Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4989.

 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9302 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

November 1, 2002

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER